



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL
5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE
MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000**

5720
PERS-00J/20180570
August 9, 2018

Mr. Robert Hammond
1828 Wayland Street
Oakton, VA 22124

Dear Mr. Hammond:

**SUBJECT: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST ICO ROBERT HAMMOND,
FOIA CASE NUMBER DON-NAVY-2018-010319**

This letter responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for "Records in your command's custody of all emails and attachments thereto (i.e. SOP) during the period of 1 October 2012 through 30 September 2014 from DON FOIA/PA Office (OPNAV DNS 36) or Navy BUMED including the words both "FOIA and Quarterly" in the subject. This would include any Navy BUMED forwarding of DON FOIA/PA Office emails to your command or forwarding of DON FOIA/PA emails or Navy BUMED emails to your command by any other entity. Further, you seek a copy of your FOIA request.

Your request was submitted on July 30, 2018. Your request number is DON-NAVY-2018-010319.

I have the authority to render an initial release determination on Freedom of Information requests for release of records under the cognizance of this command. A search was conducted for responsive records using the date parameters October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2014 and using terms "FOIA" and "Quarterly." Our search has determined that we do not possess records responsive to this portion of your request. Additionally, the Navy Records Management Manual SECNAVINST 5210.8E and SECNAV Manual 5210.1, retention period for FOIA Quarterly reports is two years. Transitory email retention period is 180 days or less. A copy of your FOIA request is enclosed.

The fees associated with the processing of your request are waived in this instance.

Because this is a partial "No Records" response you are entitled to submit an administrative appeal regarding this determination in writing. You may appeal the adequacy of our search. If you have created an account in FOIA online, you may submit your appeal directly with the web-based system. To do this, log into your account, retrieve your original request, and then click on the "Create Appeal" tab in the left-hand column. The basic information from your request will be duplicated for you, and then you can type in the basis of your appeal. If you do not have a FOIA online account, you may send your appeal by regular mail to Department of the Navy, Office of the Judge Advocate General (Code 14), 1322 Patterson Avenue SE, Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-5066. Please mark the appeal letter and envelope "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Your appeal must be postmarked within 90 calendar days from the date of this letter and should include a copy of this letter.

If you decide to appeal, please provide a copy of your appeal letter to us at Department of the Navy, Office of the Judge Advocate General, (Code 11), 1322 Patterson Ave, SE, Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-5006.

For this determination, you have the right to seek dispute resolution services from the Department of Defense Navy Component FOIA Public Liaison, Mr. Chris Julka, at christopher.a.julka@navy.mil, or at (703) 697-0031. You may also contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) as they provide a voluntary mediation process for resolving disputes between persons making FOIA requests and the Department of the Navy (DON). For more information, please go to:
<https://www.archives.gov/ogis/about-ogis/contact-information>.

Questions regarding the action taken to process your request may be directed to the undersigned at david.german@navy.mil, (901) 874-3165.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. P. German', written over a horizontal line.

D. P. GERMAN
FOIA/PA Officer
By direction

Copy to:

Enclosure: Robert Hammond's FOIA Request dated July 30, 2018

Robert Hammond
1828 Wayland Street,
Oakton, VA 22124
perseverance2013@aol.com

July 30, 2017

FOIA Officer/Commanding Officer/Officer in Charge

Subject: FOIA Request DON 18- BD4. FOIA Reports Emails

References: (a) The Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 *et seq.*, as amended,
(b) Joint publication of U.S. Department of Justice, Executive Office of the President and U.S. General Services Administration of July 2011, "Your Right to Federal Records"
(c) The Privacy Act ("PA") of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a, *et seq.*, as amended
(d) DoD 5400.11-R, May 14, 2007, Department of Defense Privacy Program
(e) DoD 5400.7-R, September 1998, DoD Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Program
(f) DoD 5400.11-R, May 14, 2007, Department of Defense Privacy Program
(g) DoD 6025.18-R, Jan. 24, 2003, DoD Health Information Privacy Regulation
(i) GAO Report GAO-12-828 of July 2012, subject Freedom of Information Act
(j) Department of Justice Handbook for Agency Annual Freedom of Information Act Reports

***** This Request will be timely for Judicial Review in twenty working days *****

Dear Sir:

I am submitting this request under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 *et seq.*, as amended. If you deny all or any part of this request, please cite each specific exemption you think justifies your decision not to release the information and notify me of appeal procedures available under the law. References cited above apply.

BACKGROUND. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 2000ee-1, DOD submits Quarterly (now semi-annual) Privacy Reports to Congress. The DOD report is consolidated and submitted by the Defense Privacy and Civil Liberties Office (DPCLO). FY 2014 and later reports may be found at <http://dpcl.d.defense.gov/Reports/>.

RECORDS SOUGHT VIA FOIA.

1. I am respectfully seeking records in your command's custody of all emails and attachments thereto (i. e. SOP) during the period of 1 October 2012 through 30 September 2014 from DON FOIA/PA Office (OPNAV DNS 36) or Navy BUMED including the words both "FOIA" and "Quarterly" in the subject. This would include any

Navy BUMED forwarding of DON FOIA/PA Office emails to your command or forwarding of DON FOIA/PA emails or Navy BUMED emails to your command by any other entity.

2. Emails may have been sent from FOIA/PA Office staff, including, but not limited to, the following, whose identities are already in the public domain:

robin.patterson@navy.mil,
DONFOIA-PA@navy.mil
mary.p.shaw@navy.mil,
richard.r.strong@navy.mil,
donfoia-pa@navy.mil
joshua.portner.ctr@navy.mil,
montant.johnson.ctr@navy.mil,
Raymond.Hartwick.ctr@navy.mil,
montana.johnson.ctr@navy.mil,
richard.r.strong.ctr@navy.mil,
Strong, Richard R CTR OPNAV, DNS-36

3. Emails may have been sent from Navy BUMED staff, including, but not limited to the following, whose identities are already in the public domain:

Garcia, Della
della.garcia@med.navy.mil,

4. DON JAG has ruled that the identities of FOIA Officers including those which are publicly posted are not exempt from disclosure. See enclosures 1 and 2.

5. Some relevant emails, include, but are not limited to those below (note the time of day may vary, depending upon the recipient and sender time zones:

- Thursday, January 24, 2013 12:29 PM, Subject: DRAFT-----
REMINDER: Action Item due Jan 25th -SOP - FOIA Quarterly Reports and Consolidation process
- Monday, June 10, 2013 7:52 AM, Subject: Action Item due June 21, 2013 - Quarterly FOIA Reporting
- Monday, March 25, 2013 8:15, Subject FOIA Report FY 2013 – 2nd Quarter (Jan. 1 – Mar. 31, 2013
- Monday, June 10, 2013 10:52 AM, Subject: Action Item due June 21, 2013 - Quarterly FOIA Reporting
- Monday, June 10, 2013 9:52 AM, Action Item due June 21, 2013 - Quarterly FOIA Reporting
- Monday, June 17, 2013 1:40 PM Action Item due June 21, 2013 - Quarterly FOIA Reporting Importance: High
- Thu, Jun 20, 2013 at 2:51 PM, Action Item due June 21, 2013 - 3rd FOIA Quarterly Reports - FOIA Community Reminder
- Wednesday, July 10, 2013 14:52, ACTION ITEM: 3RDFOIA REPORT
QUARTERLY

- Monday, July 29, 2013 3:29 PM, ACTION ITEM: 3RDFOIA REPORT QUARTERLY (due 8/1/13)
 - Wednesday, August 07, 2013 2:46 PM, Subject: FW: ACTION ITEM: 3RDFOIA REPORT QUARTERLY
 - Wednesday, September 04, 2013 11:50 AM, Subject: Action Item Due October 4, 2013 - Quarterly FOIA Reporting (June 24 Sept 30)
 - Wednesday, September 04, 2013 8:50 AM, Subject: Action Item Due October 4, 2013 - Quarterly FOIA Reporting (June 24 - Sept 30)
 - Tue, Oct 15, 2013 at 9:27 AM, DONFOIA-PA, ACTION ITEM - FOIA 4th Quarterly Report Due October 10, 2013
 - Monday, December 09, 2013 9:05AM, Subject: Action Item Due December 20, 2013 - Quarterly FOIA Reporting (Sept 30-Dec 20)
6. Records are likely to be held by FOIA officers and in the agency's MS Outlook data files
 7. I am also seeking that a copy of this FOIA Request (which is an agency record) be included as a responsive record integral to my Request.
 8. In your reply, please identify your searches, who conducted them, when they were conducted, the manner of searching, the locations searched and the results of your searches.

REQUESTED FORMAT.

I am requesting that documents provided as a single PDF file by return email to perseverance2013@aol.com with: (1) a signed and dated cover letter (citing my personally assigned requester control number); (2) with record page count for all records released records (3) a copy of this request in your reply. I seek records via email in PDF format with an imbedded copy of my requests to (1) impede the agency from not addressing the FOIA Request; (2) impede the Agency from not providing the documents stated in the Agency's letter reply, and (3) make it obvious in any subsequent review what the Agency has or has not done.

This request is distinctly separate from any other. Please do not combine this request with any other request in your reply. I am requesting that each element of the records sought be specifically addressed in the reply.

AGREEMENT TO PAY FEES. I agree to pay fees for searching or copying the records up to \$5. If the fees exceed this amount please advise me of the cost before proceeding. I do not believe that there should be any charge for providing these records, as there is public interest in government operations. I am a private individual not seeking documents for commercial use, such that the following applies: "No fees may be charged by any DoD Component if the costs of routine collection and processing of the fee are likely to equal or exceed the amount of the fee. With the exception of requesters seeking documents for a commercial use, Components shall provide the first two hours of search time, and the first one hundred pages of duplication without charge." I would note that because I am requesting a PDF file, there should not be a per page

copy fee. Also, please note that, should payment become necessary, the Coinage Act of 1965, specifically Section 31 U.S.C. 5103, entitled "Legal tender," states: "United States coins and currency (including Federal reserve notes and circulating notes of Federal reserve banks and national banks) are legal tender for all debts, public charges, taxes, and dues."

PUBLIC INTEREST. The accuracy and integrity of FOIA/PA processes and FOIA reporting are in the public interest. The subject of the requested records concerns "the operations or activities of the government." The disclosure is "likely to contribute" to an understanding of government operations or activities. There is no commercial interest. There is significant public interest. Inaccurate reporting by DOD has already been established.

DOD POLICY – PUBLIC TRUST.

Reference (c) states, "DoD personnel are expected to comply with the FOIA, this Regulation, and DoD FOIA policy in both letter and spirit. This strict adherence is necessary to provide uniformity in the implementation of the DoD FOIA Program and to create conditions that will promote public trust."

PRESERVATION OF RECORDS AND SEARCHES PERFORMED. Please preserve all responsive or potentially responsive records and records of your searches in your FOIA case file until the statutory date for judicial review has passed (should that be necessary) or in accordance with a NARA approved records schedule, if longer. Records of responsive searches would include but not be limited to: Searches conducted for each specific record sought and all other records known to the Agency, including dates, manner of searching, responsible agent or employee conducting each search and the results thereof.

ALTERATION/DESTRUCTION OF RECORDS

Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any record, document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation or proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States or any case filed under title 11, or in relation to or contemplation of any such matter or case, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both. 18 U.S. Code § 1519 - Destruction, alteration, or falsification of records. (Added Pub. L. 107-204, title VIII, §802(a), July 30, 2002, 116 Stat. 800.)

LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF FOIA

1. The definition of "records" includes:

"[A]ll books, papers, maps, photographs, machine readable materials, or other documentary materials, regardless of physical form or characteristics, made or received by an agency of the United States Government under Federal law or in connection with the transaction of public business and preserved or appropriate for preservation by that agency or its legitimate successor as evidence of the organization, functions, policies, decisions, procedures, operations, or other activities of the Government or because of the informational value of data in them." 44 U.S.C. § 3301 (emphasis supplied).

2. FOIA requires that “each agency, upon any request for records which (i) reasonably describes such records and (ii) is made in accordance with published rules stating the time, place, fees (if any), and procedures to be followed, shall make the records promptly available to any person” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A).

3. FOIA requires that “each agency shall establish a system to assign an individualized tracking number for each request received that will take longer than ten days to process and provide to each person making a request the tracking number assigned to the request” 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(7)(A).

4. FOIA requires that each agency shall “establish a telephone line or Internet service that provides information about the status of a request to the person making the request using the assigned tracking number, including the date on which the agency originally received the request; and an estimated date on which the agency will complete action on the request. 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(7)(B).

5. FOIA also requires federal agencies to make a final determination on FOIA administrative appeals that it receives within twenty days (excepting Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays) after the receipt of such appeal, unless the agency expressly provides notice to the requester of “unusual circumstances” meriting additional time for responding to a FOIA request. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii).

6. FOIA expressly provides that a person shall be deemed to have constructively exhausted their administrative remedies if the agency fails to comply with the applicable time limitations provided by 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(I) - (ii). *See also* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C).

7. FOIA provides that any person who has not been provided the records requested pursuant to FOIA, after exhausting their administrative remedies, may seek legal redress from the Federal District Court to enjoin the agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant.

8. Regarding the names of the FOIA requesters, the courts have held that under the FOIA requesters do not have an expectation of privacy. *Stauss v. IRS*, 516 F. Supp. 1218, 1223 (D.D.C. 1981),

9. Under FOIA, the federal agency has the burden of sustaining its actions. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

10. Pursuant to FOIA, a Court may assess attorney fees and litigation costs against the United States if the Plaintiff prevails in an action thereunder. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E).

11. Department of Justice (DOJ) has issued a handbook addressing FOIA Annual Reports. *See DOJ, Handbook for Agency Annual Freedom of Information Act Reports*, “Disposition of FOIA Requests,” (available at http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/oip/pages/attachments/2014/11/04/departement_of_justice_handbook_for_agency_annual_freedom_of_information_act_reports.pdf) (“DOJ Handbook”).

12. Among other things, the DOJ Handbook states, “All requests (perfected and non-perfected), appeals, and consultations that were pending at any time during the relevant fiscal year [October 1st through September 30th] will be captured.”

13. The DOJ Handbook also states:

“[E]ach agency is ultimately responsible for the accuracy and completeness of its Annual FOIA Report. It is therefore essential for agencies to take steps that will ensure that they are adequately tracking all of the information necessary to complete the Annual FOIA Report sections detailed below. Agencies that utilize a tracking or case management system for this purpose are responsible for ensuring that the system they are using can produce an accurate Annual FOIA Report that is in compliance with the law and Department of Justice guidance.” DOJ Handbook, at 3.

AGENCY’S BURDEN EXEMPTION 6

- a. **“The presumption in favor of disclosure is as strong [under Exemption 6] as can be found anywhere in the Act.” See Wash. Post Co. v. HHS, 690 F.2d 252, 261 (D.C. Cir. 1982).**
- b. **All names and email addresses are all in public domain.** The names and email addresses are already in the public domain having been release numerous times. As a general matter, “[o]ne can have no privacy interest in information that is already in the public domain, especially when the person asserting his privacy is himself responsible for placing that information into the public domain. See *Citizens for Responsibility & Ethics in Wash.*, 2012 WL 45499 at *6; *see also* Department of Justice, Office of Information Policy, Exemption 6 at 435, http://www.justice.gov/oip/foia_guide09/exemption6.pdf (“Unless the information has become ‘practically obscure’. . . there is generally no expectation of privacy regarding information that is particularly well known or is widely available within the public domain.”).
- c. **Government misconduct.** Government misconduct is at issue with regard FOIA processes and to the potential destruction of records after FOIA requests were received under the guise of records retention. The public interest is particularly high where government misconduct is concerned and (mirroring the way privacy interests are assessed for government employees) “[t]he public interest in learning of a government employee’s misconduct increases as one moves up an agency’s hierarchical ladder. See *Trentadue v. Integrity Comm.*, 501 F.3d 1215, 1234 (10th Cir. 2007). Discussed in my FOIA Request and below.
- d. **The Agency must articulate any clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy, direct threats to privacy or substantial probability thereof.** The U.S. Supreme Court has clarified that the exemption is “directed at threats to privacy more palpable than mere possibilities,” (*Rose*, 425 U.S. at 381 n.19.) and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit requires the government to show a “substantial probability that the disclosure will lead to the threatened invasion.
- e. **Disclosure is specifically authorized by DOD Policy.** DoD 5400.11-R, May 14, 2007, C4.2.2. Disclosures Required by FOIA.

- f. **AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL USA v. C.I.A is irrelevant as a defense based on grade or rank.** Hogan Decl., ¶ 3 ("[I]t is the policy of the [DOD] that it will not release, nor authorize any other federal agency to release, lists of names or other personal identifying information of DOD personnel . . . [except the DOD] may release the names, official titles [], and telephone numbers for personnel at the office director level or above, for military officers above the rank of Colonel (Captain in the Navy), and for those officials below the office director level [whose] positions and duties require frequent interaction with the public."). As shown above, this is not DOD policy. Moreover, DOD has released the redacted information through FOIA numerous times.
- g. **DOD must demonstrate Substantial/De Minimis Privacy Interests.**
- h. **Derivative Use.** There is a public interest in release of the information in my own and others' ability to use it to obtain additional information. See *Ray*, 502 U.S. at 178, 179.
- i. **I am incorporating by reference the following cases in my opposition to the Agency's Exemption 6 redactions/withholdings:**

Case name

Canning et al v. U.S. Dep't of State

Climate Investigations Center v. U.S. Dep't of Energy

Nat'l Public Radio Inc. et al v. Federal Emergency Management Agency et al

Calderon v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture

Goldwater Institute v. U.S. Dep't of Health And Human Services

Goldstein v. Treasury Inspector General For Tax Administration

Judicial Watch, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of State

Mattachine Society of Washington, D.c. v. U.S. Dep't of Justice

Wisdom v. U.S. Trustee Program

Energy & Environment Legal Institute et al v. U.S. Dep't of State

Parker v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, Office of Professional Responsibility

Aqualliance v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Mcguffin v. Social Security Administration

Edelman v. Securities And Exchange Commission

Broward Bulldog, Inc. et al v. U.S. Dep't of Justice et al

White Arnold & Dowd P.c. v. Dep't of Justice

Shapiro v. Dep't of Justice

Reddick v. U.S. Dep't of Energy

Wadhwa v. Dep't of Veterans Affairs

Buckovetz v. U.S. Dep't of The Navy

The Inclusive Communities Project Inc v. The U.S. Dep't of Housing And Urban Development

Muchnick v. Dep't of Homeland Security

Baker v. Federal Bureau of Investigation

Argus Leader Media v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture
Tunnell v. U.S. Dep't of Defense
Mcatee v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security, U.S. Secret Service
Renewal Services v. U.S. Patent And Trade Office
Kleinert v. Bureau of Land Management
Henderson v. U.S. Dep't of Justice et al
De Paco v. U.S. Customs And Border Protection
Baser v. Dep't of Veterans Affairs et al
Aqualliance v. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
Ayuda, Inc. et al v. Federal Trade Commission
Milner v. U.S. Dep't of Defense et al
American Immigration Lawyers Association v. Executive Office For Immigration Review et al
Neary v. Federal Deposit Insurance Company
Parker v. U.S. Dep't of Justice
Havemann v. Colvin
Samahon v. Federal Bureau of Investigation et al
American Farm Bureau Federation et al v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency et al
Fennerty v. Dep't of The Army, Corps of Engineers et al
Lewis v. Federal Aviation Administration et al
Brown et al v. Perez
Bayala v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security
Energy & Environment Legal Institute et al v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Star Publishing Company et al v. U.S. Fish And Wildlife Service et al
Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Dep't of The Navy
Judicial Watch, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Justice
Human Rights Watch v. Dep't of Justice Federal Bureau of Prisons
Friedman v. U.S. Secret Service
Black v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security
Odland et al v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Long et al v. U.S. Dep't of Justice
Morley v. U.S. Central Intelligence Agency
Bloomgarden v. U.S. Dep't of Justice
Milton v. U.S. Dep't of Justice
Wadhwa v. Dep't of Veterans Affairs
Torres Consulting And Law Group Llc v. U.S. Dep't of Energy
Venkataram v. Office of Information Policy et al
New Hampshire Right To Life v. U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Services
Charles v. Office of The Armed Forces Medical Examiner et al
Ludlam et al v. U.S. Peace Corps
Lazaridis v. U.S. Dep't of State

Ehlmann v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security
Hooker v. U.S. Dep't of Health And Human Services, et al
The New York Times Company et al v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security
Cook v. Nat'l Archives & Records Administration
Long et al v. Office of Personnel Management
Gonzales & Gonzales Bonds And Insurance Agency, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security
Rosenfeld v. Federal Bureau of Investigation et al
Humane Society of The U.S. v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture
Kowack v. U.S. Forest Service et al
Families For Freedom et al v. U.S. Customs And Border Protection et al
Judicial Watch, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of State
Seife v. Nat'l Institutes of Health et al
Yonemoto v. Dep't of Veterans Affairs
Schoenman v. Federal Bureau of Investigation et al
Prison Legal News v. Lappin
Margolin v. Bolden
Prudential Locations Llc v. U.S. Dep't of Housing And Urban Development
Adv/auto Safety v. Federal Highway
Nat'l Right To Work Legal Defense And Education Foundation, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Labor
Ancient Coin Collectors Guild et al v. U.S. Dep't of State
Citizens For Responsibility And Ethics In Washington v. U.S. Dep't of Justice
Nat'l Day Laborer Organizing Network et al v. U.S. Immigration And Customs Enforcement Agency et al
Prison Legal News v. U.S. Attorneys, Executive Office For
Reno Newspapers, Inc. v. U.S. Parole Commission et al
American Small Business League v. U.S. Dep't of The Interior
Smith et al v. U.S. Dep't of Labor et al
Kensington Research & Recovery, Inc. v. Dep't of Treasury et al
Judicial Watch, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security et al
Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Board of Governors of The Federal Reserve System
Wade v. Internal Revenue Service
Hidalgo v. Federal Bureau of Investigation
Lardner v. Dep't of Justice
Habeas Corpus Resource Center v. U.S. Dep't of Justice et al
Consumers' Checkbook v. U.S. Dep't of Health And Human Services et al
Coleman v. Lappin et al
Knittel v. Internal Revenue Service
Ubungen v. U.S. Citizenship And Immigration Services
Columbia Riverkeeper et al v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Grandison v. U.S. Dep't of Justice, et al

Mcgrady v. Winter et al
Nikelsberg v. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
Carter, Fullerton & Hayes, Lcc v. Federal Trade Commission
Hall et al v. U. S. Dep't of Justice
Bangoura v. Jackman et al
People For The American Way Foundation et al v. Nat'l Park Service
Stonehill v. Internal Revenue Service
In Defense of Animals v. Nat'l Institutes of Health et al
O'keefe v. U.S. Dep't of Defense
Associated Press v. U.S. Dep't of Defense
Aguirre v. Securities And Exchange Commission
Nat'l Security News Service et al v. U.S. Dep't of The Navy
The News-press et al v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security
Akin, Gump, Strauss v. Doj
Canaday v. U.S. Citizenship And Immigration Services
Forest Service Employees For Environmental Ethics v. U.S. Forest Service
Fuller v. Central Intelligence Agency
Trentadue v. Pres Council Integri, et al
Voinche v. Federal Bureau of Investigation
Multi Ag Media Llc v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture
King v. Social Security Adminstration
Reilly v. U.S. Dept. of Energy
Finkel v. The U.S. Dep't of Labor And Occupational Health And Safety Administration
Associated Press v. U.S. Dep't of Defense
Cei Washington Bureau, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Justice
Center For Public Integrity v. Federal Communications Commission
Seized Property Recovery Corporation v. U.S. Customs And Border Protection
People For The Ethical Treatment of Animals v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture
Van Mechelen v. U.S. Dep't of Interior
Bigwood v. U.S. Agency For International Development
Leadership Conference On Civil Rights v. Ashcroft et al
Long et al v. U.S. Dep't of Justice
Long et al v. Dep't of Justice
Summers v. Doj, et al
Associated Press v. U.S. Dep't of Defense
Peay v. Commerson et al
Schmidt v. U.S. Dept of Defense
Electronic Privacy Information Center v. Dep't of Commerce et al
Center For Public Integrity v. United Office of Personnel Management
Cawthon v. U.S. Dep't of Justice et al
Trupej Vs. Drug Enforcement Administration, et al.,

Electronic Privacy Information Center v. Dep't of Homeland Security et al
Kimmel et al v. U.S. Dep't of Defense
Gordon et al v. Federal Bureau of Investigation et al
Chgo, Cty of v. At&f
Brannum v. Roche
Lardner v. U.S. Dep't of Justice

I believe that I have adequately described the records that I am seeking. If you believe that my request is unclear, if you have any questions, or if there is anything else that you need from me to complete this request in a timely manner, please contact me in writing, so that I may perfect my request. If you deem that any portion of my request is unclear, answer the remaining portions and I will perfect a request for additional material as needed.

Thank you very much in advance.

With my respect,

Robert Hammond

Robert Hammond

Enclosures:

1. Bizzell, Judy J CIV US WRNMMC email, **Sent:** Thursday, January 24, 2013 1:07 PM, Subject FY13 1st FOIA Quarterly Report
2. WRNMMC and the Freedom of Information Act _ Features _ dcmilitary.com _ Bizzell